

## Response to "Setting the Table: Food Insecurity and Costs in Ontario's North", Northern Policy Institute Commentary No. 26.

Melillo, E. (2018, December). *Setting the Table: Food Insecurity and Costs in Ontario's North*. Northern Policy Institute. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.northernpolicy.ca/upload/documents/publications/commentaries-new/commentary-melillo-cof-en-19.01.18.pdf">https://www.northernpolicy.ca/upload/documents/publications/commentaries-new/commentary-melillo-cof-en-19.01.18.pdf</a>

Issue	Location in the	Evidence
	Commentary	
Improper use of the Nutritious Food Basket data, namely	Pages 5, 7	http://www.ontla.on.ca/library/repository/mon/24006/30
the NFB being used to compare two different health unit	(graphic) and 9	2017.pdf (Page 14) "The mix of stores and the approach to
regions. According to the Ministry guidelines only a North		store selection may be quite different between health
vs South comparison is deemed appropriate. In addition,		units, making between health unit comparisons
the reference to where this info was obtained (page 7		inappropriate". "Regional comparisons: North and south
graphic) does not clearly identify the source (The		regional comparisons of cost and/or percent change are
information, in the format it is presented, is usually sent		appropriate"
from the Ministry to the health units who conducted the		
Nutritious Food Basket, and it is not considered a public		
document.)		
Incorrect definition for food insecurity, according to the	Page 5	https://www.dietitians.ca/Downloads/Public/HFI-Position-
citation provided: "unable to obtain a nutritionally		Statement-and-Recommendations-DC-FINA.aspx (Page
adequate and culturally appropriate diet due to financial		3) "Food insecurity, at the household level, refers to
restrictions and other barriers". This is important		inadequate or insecure access to food because of financial
because the advocacy work around food insecurity is		constraints"
based on the essential premise that its root cause is		
poverty, and the strategies needed to address it need to		
focus on increasing Canadians' income (not "other		
barriers").		

Issue	Location in the	Evidence
	Commentary	
Conflation of the definitions of food security and food	Page 11 "The	https://www.civicgovernance.ca/wordpress/wp-
insecurity in multiple sections of the commentary.	result is that	content/uploads/2014/04/Poverty-and-Access-to-Food-
Bottom-line, strategies to address food insecurity are	people can earn	<u>Lynn-McIntyre.pdf</u>
income based, while strategies to promote food security	more income and	
can take many forms (e.g. improve physical access to	potentially	https://proof.utoronto.ca/wp-
food).	achieve food	content/uploads/2017/03/PROOF-webinar-slides-food-
	security as the	insecurity-measurement-in-Canada.pdf
	gap between the	
	cost of living and	
	income narrows	
	or becomes non-	
	existent."; "At	
	the provincial	
	level, there are	
	initiatives in	
	place to address	
	food insecurity	
	across Ontario as	
	part of the Food	
	Security	
	Strategy"	
Overall focus on high food cost as the main cause of food	Page 5	https://www.odph.ca/upload/membership/document/201
insecurity (also forgetting about other concerns of the	(mentioning	6-02/position-statement-2015-final.pdf (Page 1) "An
quality of the food provided). The definition (partially	lowering food	income response is required to effectively address food
incorrect) shared on page 5 specifically says that lack of	costs as a way to	insecurity"
proper income is the root cause for food insecurity. This	address food	
is an issue as focusing on high food cost led to specific	insecurity in the	https://proof.utoronto.ca/
recommendations that while pertinent for the issue (and	north).	
important to consider as well), are not pertinent to	Page 11,	
address, truly, food insecurity, and are not in line with	comment of	
what other major stakeholders have been advocating for	ineffectiveness of	
(i.e., adequate social assistance rates). In addition, no	the Nutrition	

Issue	Location in the Commentary	Evidence
mention to the fact that Ontarians with adequate	North program	
incomes actually spend a low percentage of income on	due to higher	
food, compared to other developed countries, and that	levels of food	
simply reducing food cost would have a significant impact	insecurity.	
in our farmers' community, already struggling to survive.	moccurrey.	
Fail to acknowledge evidence provided by ODPH and	Pages 8 and 9	https://www.phsd.ca/wp-
health units that social assistance levels are indeed	r ages o and s	content/uploads/2015/01/NFB Scenarios Eng 2017.jpg
insufficient to overcome high food costs, and to explicitly		(example of the work most health units do annually,
note that food insecurity is a result of the total income		comparing food cost & rent with total income, particularly
not matching the true cost of living.		for those on social assistance, to check the adequacy of the
The materials are true cost of many		values of assistance provided)
Description of the Northern Fruit & Vegetable program.	NFVP – page 13	https://www.nwhu.on.ca/ourservices/HealthyLiving/Pages
Contrary to what is shared in the commentary, this	CFCC – page 13	/Northern-Fruit-and-Vegetable-Program-(NFVP).aspx
program does not provide adequate servings of	C. CC   page 10	The goals of the program are to:
vegetables and fruits to students. It provides only 2		-Increase consumption and awareness of fruits and
servings per each student/week (and that amount can be		vegetables among elementary school students in Northern
different among health units). In addition, this program is		Ontario; educate around the consumption of fruits and
described as "a solid step towards alleviating food		vegetables, healthy eating and regular physical activity;
insecurity". It has been well described that the goal of		provide resources to children and their families around the
this and similar programs is to promote food literacy;		importance of fruit and vegetable consumption and regular
given that they do not address the root cause of food		physical activity for good health and the prevention of
insecurity, poverty, they cannot be considered as		chronic diseases.
alleviating food insecurity – the lack of financial means is		https://cfccanada.ca/en/About-Us/Mission-and-Vision
still there. The same comment applies to the reference		
made to the Community Food Centres Canada goal (it		https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-
does not address food insecurity, rather create		/media/documents/ldcp-food-literacy-call-to-
opportunities for individuals to advocate for poverty-		action.pdf?la=en (Page 9)
reduction policies)		
Two different indicators are being compared when	Pages 7 and 8	https://foodsecurecanada.org/paying-for-nutrition
comparing Toronto, Attawapiskat and Porcupine: the		
values for Toronto and Porcupine are from the <u>Nutritious</u>		http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2008/inac-
Food Basket, while the ones for Attawapiskat are from		ainc/R3-56-2007E.pdf

Issue	Location in the	Evidence
	Commentary	
the Revised Northern Food Basket. These baskets are		
different in, for example, the food listed. Additionally, on		https://www.nutritionnorthcanada.gc.ca/eng/1519997966
page 12, where it mentions that "airshipscan reduce		<u>920/1519998026166</u>
the cost of the Nutritious Food Basket", it should be		
read Revised Northern Food Basket instead.		
Overall failing to acknowledge high complexity of issues	Page 11	
such as food insecurity in Northern Ontario (both		
connected and not connected, which means that		
complex solutions are also needed (not just adding roads		
or increase the Nutrition North Canada values) and some		
of the solutions provided can be complex issues		
themselves (i.e. roads) and not what the community is		
looking for.		